

(1)

MARGETTA LANGLOIS pro se  
 V.  
 MICHAEL HUGO -  
 SAMUEL POLLACK -  
 AND ALBERT FLANDERS

CASE 04-CV-11588  
 JUDGE ZOBEL

REQUEST for Plaintiff TO  
 Not decline from Depos - on 1/11/05, I WAS  
 TOLD "DUE TO: (Now with LAST DAYSTERS  
 ON") THIS IS NOT AN O.J. SIMPSON CASE -  
 1) Samuel Pollack knew during our  
 phone conversation I was flying home  
 to Florida on 1/12/05, I CAN NOT STAY  
 BEHIND - OPERATION 1/20/05, + TESTS 1/13/05  
 2) HE ALSO KNEW I WAS HAVE TESTS +  
 EXAMS FOR "EXPLANATION" on 1/13/05  
 AT DR. JAN MATHISON'S OFFICE IN TAMPA,  
 FLORIDA - IN OUR DISCUSSION -  
 3) OPERATION: of "EXPLANATION" BOOKED  
 NOV. 04 BETWEEN NOV. 11 - + NOV.  
 26-04 - AIRLINE TICKETS ON HAND 12/7/04 -  
 REBOOKED TO 12/19/04 BOUGHT 12/10/04 -  
 ① VISITS AND ② ALL CONSULTATIONS WILL  
 BE SUBMITTED AS EVID. ALONG WITH  
 ③ PROOF OF PLANE TICKETS ④  
 ④ \$1000. DEPOSIT FOR OPERATION  
 OF "20 YR. OLD IMPLANTS" ⑤ BY DR.  
 JAN MATHISON IN HIS OFFICE NOT  
 HOSPITAL I CAN NOT AFFORD.

(2)

5. THIS IS A MAJOR OPERATION IN AN  
OFFICE — NOT A HOSPITAL DUE TO  
THE 3 ATTORNEY'S INCOMPETENCE,  
NEGLIGENCE & NOT FILING MY  
"ORIGINALS" IN A PROPER TIME  
WHEN DEMANDS BY DR. CURVING'S  
LETTER "1031"

- A) I HAVE TO BORROW THE \$5000.
- B) BEING OPERATED IN AN OFFICE  
W/ A MAJOR MAJOR OPERATION  
NOT A HOSPITAL
- C) FOR OVER 11 YRS. — 13 YRS NEGLECTED  
MY CASE BY ATTORNEYS —  
W/ SILICONE RASH PICTURES — FOR 6 mos. AT  
A TIME RASH —

I HAVE SUFFERED "TREMENDOUSLY"

I WOULD LIKE & GIVE MY PERMISSION  
TO ALL TO BE THERE & VIEW OPERATION  
IN PERSON 1/20/05 IN TAMPA, FL. —  
TO SHOW ALL EVID. COMING OUT OF MY  
BODY 20 YRS. — & SINCE ATTORNEYS LEFT MY  
HEALTH TO "DETRIOATE" — W/ THE  
"GARBAGE" LEFT INSIDE ME —

THIS CASE IS NOT THAT I  
BECAME THE DEFENDANT —

THE 3 ATTORNEYS ARE & TO  
DATE HAVE NOT SUBMITTED ANY & ALL

(3)

"Discovery" SENT TO Dow Corning  
By any of THE 3 Demanded by  
Dow Corning Before <sup>to</sup> 4/14/04 filed  
by me  
1992 - 4/14/04  
WHY NOT —

"ALL Discovery" Ignored  
by 3 DEFENDANTS - WHY?

CONCLUSION  
FAILURE TO provide "ALL" Discovery TO  
THE COURT BY 1/15/05 -

- FINAL JUDGEMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE  
PLAINTIFF. FOR FULL AMOUNT + TRIPLE  
DAMAGES — MON & W/ CONTEMPTS  
ON ALL 3 DEFENDANTS for NOT  
providing TO THE COURT "ALL" DISCOVERY.

D. Proof of WHAT THE 3  
DID ON MY CASE THAT THEY LIEB MY  
CASE COULD HAVE BEEN PROCESSED  
A LIE

11/03 I have proof By  
Dow Corning "Official's Needed  
TO Be Filed by Hutto, Posner & Firm  
ON RECORD BEFORE JUDGE ROSEL  
10/24/04 THEY ANSWERED "NO"

HUGO + POLACK  
 AND THEY NEVER SENT  
 THE "ORIGINALS" IN W/ POLACK'S  
 LETTER "6/04" AFTER THEY WERE  
FILED! PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD TO SEND  
ORIGINALS IN TO DOW CORP.  
WHY?

my CASE WOULD HAVE NEVER  
 BEEN PROCESSED BY  
 D.C. DUE TO  
 HUGO, POLACK, + FLANDERS

GROSS NEGLIGENCE OF  
 INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL -

I Almost "Lost" my CASE!  
 IF I HADN'T FIRST TAKEN 4/14/04.

High  
 CHEST PAIN  
 DUE TO my BORDERLINE DIABETES  
 REGULAR HEART BEAT STRESS, ANXIETY + OTHER PROBLEMS  
 EMOTIONAL + MEDICAL STRESS ON  
 I CAN NOT STAY BEHIND BECAUSE  
 OF THEIR "GAF" DEPT'S SO IT WILL  
 UPSET ME + I CAN NOT BE OPERATED  
 ON BEFORE 2/16/05 HEARING TO  
 SHOW ALL EVID + GARDAGE THAT  
 CAME OUT OF ME DUE TO  
 THEIR GROSS NEGLIGENCE OVER  
 12 YRS. +

DR. WARDEN  
 CHOUH  
 EX 1994-2003

(5)

I AM NOT A LAWYER + THEY  
ARE TRYING TO RUN me INTO  
THE GROUND WITH THEIR

"Gaf" Depos, (INTERROGATORIES)  
I ANSWERED —

I AM NOT THE DEFENDANT  
I AM A VICTIM ~~DU~~  
TO THE INCOMPETENCE +  
FAKE REPRESENTATION —

I DO NOT SEE ANY EVIDENCE  
OF THEIR ALLEGED Valid Lien "on  
DOWNCORNING —

No "Discovery" To Prove  
TO THE COURT JUDGE ZUBEL OR  
AMSTILL TO DATE — 12/18/04

MOTION: ① To find ATTORNEY ALBERT  
FLANDERS in "Default" for  
FAILURE TO APPEAR 10/21/04 2:30 PM  
HEARING

+ failure to file proof of discovery  
TO D.C. + ACCEPTED by D.C. TO proceed

(6)

MARGETTA LANGLOIS' CASE  
 EVID. DISCOVERY OF 3 ATTORNEYS  
 4/14/04 "ACCEPTED" (LIKE PLAINTIFF

HAS JULY 04 BY D.C.

AND ("PROOF OF MANUFACTURE  
 TO ATTORNEYS BEFORE 4/14/04) AS

"PLAINTIFF HAS 7/04 "ACCEPTED"

"ALL DISCOVERY IS DEMANDED BY

PLAINTIFF BY 1/15/04. TO COURT

COURT NEEDS TO PUSH FOR ~~ALL~~ THEIR DISCOVERY  
 TO COURT & PLAINTIFF AT:

MARGETTA LANGLOIS PROSE.  
 C/O KATHY HAGEN  
 13 TWO UPSON COURT  
 WELLY, MASS. 01851 -

My Cell: # (727) 514-3957.

C.C. COURT - JUDGE ZOBEL

C.C. HUGO, POLLOCK + FINDERS

C.C. B.D.O. JUSTIN WINKLER

C.C. AND TO ALL OTHERS -

C.C. DOWN CORNING HELEN DAVIS

C.C. T.V. & NEWSPAPERS -

RESPECTFULLY

SUBMITTED,

Margetta

Langlois prose

12/18/04